The Linear-Quadratic Approach to Fractionation

Tim Craig, PhD, MCCPM

Director, Medical Physics Walker Family Cancer Centre, Niagara Health

April 7, 2025





Disclosures

• Licensing agreement with Modus Medical Devices





Overview

- Importance of fractionation
- Development of models of the biological effect of varying fractionation
- Use of the linear-quadratic model to estimate biological effect





Learning Objectives

- To understand the importance of fractionation in radiation therapy
- To understand the use of α/β to describe the sensitivity of tissue to changes in fractionation
- To understand how to use the linear-quadratic model to estimate the biological effect of a fractionation change



Fractionation

• Claudius Regaud

 Noted rams could be sterilized without skin reaction if the radiation was delivered over multiple treatments

- Henri Coutard
 - "Coutard method" or "protracted-fractional" irradiation







"Rich only in hope, possessing only incomplete information, incapable of offering precise techniques, adapted to diverse forms of cancer, radiotherapy has, however, obtained definite cures in cases incurable by surgery."

Henri Coutard, 1936





Nominal Standard Dose

 Based on observations of importance of dose, time, and fractionation

$NSD = \frac{D}{N^{0.24} T^{0.11}}$

Ellis. Clin Radiol 1969;20:1-7



Nominal Standard Dose

- Some problems
 - \odot No tissue-specific factors

Temerty Medicine

- Requires comparison with known treatment
- **O Limited ability to extrapolate**
- Time factor predicts opposite of observations
- \odot No insight to mechanisms of action

 $NSD = \frac{D}{N^{0.24} T^{0.11}}$

Ellis. Clin Radiol 1969;20:1-7

Linear-Quadratic Model

- First fitting of the linear-quadratic model by Douglas & Fowler
- Multi-fraction experiments of mouse skin reaction



Douglas & Fowler, Radiat Res 1976;66:401-426

PROFIT

- PROstate Fractionated Irradiation Trial
- Is the shorter 60 Gy in 20 fractions equivalent to the standard 78 Gy in 39 fractions?
- Randomized 1206 men to those fractionation schedules
- Motivated by evidence that dose per fraction is important for prostate cancer













LQ-Fitting



Radiation Oncology

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Linear-Quadratic Development

 "The cell survival curve derived here was well fitted by an equation of the form:"

 "...results are consistent with the postulate that cell death results from the formation of chromosome aberrations"

> Temerty Medicine



S – Survival n – Number of fractions d – Dose per fraction α - alpha β - beta





Fraction Size

- Early effects have a shallow slope
- Late effects have a steep slope



Thames et al. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1982;8:219-226





Dose per fraction is not very important for early effects

Dose per fraction is very important for late effects















"The second or inverse approach is to fit a mathematical expression to the shape of the curve and see if the result can be interpreted in terms of a model"



Sinclair WK. IAEA TRS 58. 1966;21-43



LQ Survival Curves for Multiple Fractions



α/β for Some Human Tissues

Tissue / Reaction	α/β (Gy)
Skin	9 – 13
Jejunum	9 – 11
Testis	12 – 13
Lung (pneumonitis)	4 – 7
Lung (fibrosis)	3 - 4
Spinal cord	2 – 5
Bone	2 – 3





Fowler, Brit J Radio, 1989; 62: 679-694

2 Gy per Fraction







4 Gy per Fraction







1 Gy per Fraction







Biologically Effective Dose

$$S = e^{-n(\alpha d + \beta d^2)}$$
 Survival

 $E = D(\alpha + \beta d)$

Effect

$$\frac{E}{\alpha} = D\left(1 + \frac{d}{\alpha/\beta}\right)$$

Biologically Effective Dose



Biologically Effective Dose

78 Gy / 39 fractions

60 Gy / 20 fractions

$$BED = D\left(1 + \frac{d}{\alpha/\beta}\right)$$
$$BED = 78\left(1 + \frac{2}{1.3}\right)$$
$$BED = 198 \ Gy_{1.3}$$

Radiation Oncology

Radiation Oncology
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Temerty Medicine

$$BED = D\left(1 + \frac{d}{\alpha/\beta}\right)$$
$$BED = 60\left(1 + \frac{3}{1.3}\right)$$
$$BED = 198 \ Gy_{1.3}$$

Biologically Effective Dose and Time

$$BED = D\left(1 + \frac{d}{\alpha/\beta}\right)$$

$$BED = D\left(1 + \frac{d}{\alpha/\beta}\right) - \frac{\ln(T - T_k)}{\alpha T_{pot}}$$

T – Total time T_k – Time when accelerated repopulation begins T_{pot} – Potential doubling time





Biologically Effective Dose and Time

70 Gy / 35 fractions, 47 days

$$BED = D\left(1 + \frac{d}{\alpha/\beta}\right) - \frac{ln(T - T_k)}{\alpha T_{pot}}$$

$$BED = 70\left(1 + \frac{2}{10}\right) - \frac{\ln(47 - 28)}{0.03x3}$$

BED = 84 - 32.7

BED = 51.3

Temerty Medicine

Radiation Oncology

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Biologically Effective Dose and Time

70 Gy / 35 fractions, 61 days

$$BED = D\left(1 + \frac{d}{\alpha/\beta}\right) - \frac{ln(T - T_k)}{\alpha T_{pot}}$$

$$BED = 70\left(1 + \frac{2}{10}\right) - \frac{\ln(61 - 28)}{0.03x3}$$

BED = 84 - 38.9

BED = 45.1



Temerty Medicine

"The LQ model, with the addition of the proliferation time factor, becomes more clumsy and dangerous than the simple LQ model to calculate late effects."

Fowler, Brit J Radio, 1989; 62: 679-694



Continuous, hyperfractionated, accelerated radiotherapy (CHART) has given high levels of tumour control in advanced head and neck and bronchial carcinomas. In general, late changes have appeared less than after conventional radiotherapy but despite a prediction of reduced risk of spinal cord damage, two cases of radiation myelitis have presented.

Dische & Saunders. Radiother Oncol 1989; 16: 65-72





Incomplete Repair

- Application of the linear-quadratic model assumes identical effect per fraction
- This assumption can be violated if the time between fractions is short compared to the repair time of irradiated tissue
- Modern accelerated approaches typically require a minimum of 6 hours between fractions



Summary

- The dose per fraction tends to be very important for late responding tissues and less important for early responding tissues
- α/β tends to be high for tumours and early responding tissues and low for late responding tissues
- The linear-quadratic model and α/β allow estimation of the effect of dose per fraction





Questions?





Thank you!

Tim Craig tim.craig@niagarahealth.on.ca



